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Trauma to the facial region frequently results in injuries to soft tissue, teeth, and major skeletal components of the face, including the mandible, maxilla, zygoma, naso-orbital-ethmoid (NOE) complex, and supraorbital structures. In addition, these injuries frequently occur in combination with injuries to other areas of the body. Participation in the management and rehabilitation of the patient with facial trauma involves a thorough understanding of the types of, principles of evaluation for, and surgical treatment of facial injuries. This chapter outlines the fundamental principles for management of the patient with facial trauma.

EVALUATION OF PATIENTS WITH FACIAL TRAUMA

Immediate Assessment

Before completing a detailed history and physical evaluation of the facial area, critical injuries that may be life threatening must be addressed. The first step in evaluating a trauma patient is to assess the patient's cardiopulmonary stability by ensuring that the patient has a patent airway and is adequately ventilated. Vital signs, including respiratory and pulse rates and blood pressure, should be taken and recorded. During this initial assessment (i.e., primary survey), other potentially life-threatening problems, such as excessive bleeding, should also be addressed. Immediate measures, such as pressure dressings, packing, and clamping of briskly bleeding vessels, should be accomplished as quickly as possible. An assessment of the patient's neurologic status and an evaluation of the cervical spine should be completed next. Forces severe enough to cause fractures of the facial skeleton are often transmitted to the cervical spine. The neck should be temporarily immobilized until neck injuries have been ruled out. Careful palpation of the neck to assess possible areas of tenderness and a cervical spine radiographic series should be completed as soon as possible.

Treatment of head and neck injuries generally should be deferred until a thorough evaluation, assessment, and stabilization of the patient has been accomplished. However, some initial treatment is often necessary to stabilize the patient. Management of the patient's airway is of vital importance. Frequently, fractures of the facial bones severely compromise the patient's ability to maintain the
airway, particularly when the patient is unconscious or completely supine. Severe mandible fractures, especially bilateral or comminuted fractures, can cause gross posterior displacement of the mandible and tongue, which results in obstruction of the upper airway (Fig. 24-1).

Simply grasping, repositioning and stabilizing the mandible into a more anterior position may alleviate this obstruction. Placement of a nasopharyngeal or an oropharyngeal airway may also be sufficient to temporarily maintain a patent airway. In some cases, endotracheal intubation may be necessary. Any prosthetic devices, avulsed teeth, pieces of completely avulsed bone, or other debris may also contribute to airway occlusion and must be removed immediately. Any areas of bleeding should be quickly examined and managed with packing, pressure dressings, or clamping. All excess saliva and blood must be suctioned from the pharynx to avoid aspiration and laryngospasm.

Injuries to the facial region may involve not only bones of the face but also soft tissue, such as the tongue or upper neck areas, or they may be associated with injuries such as a fractured larynx. In some cases an emergency tracheostomy may be necessary to provide an adequate airway. In trauma patients who have complete upper airway obstruction, a cricothyrotomy is the most rapid way to access the trachea (Fig. 24-2).

**History and Physical Examination**

After the patient has been initially stabilized, as complete a history as possible should be obtained. This history should be obtained from the patient; however, because of loss of consciousness or impaired neurologic status, information must often be obtained from witnesses or accompanying family members. Five important questions should be considered: (1) How did the accident occur? (2) When did the accident occur? (3) What are the specifics of the injury, including the type of object contacted, the direction from which contact was made, and similar logistic considerations? (4) Was there a loss of consciousness? (5) What symptoms are now being experienced by the patient, including pain, altered sensation, visual changes, and malocclusion? A complete review of systems, including information about allergies, medications, and previous tetanus immunization, medical condition, and prior surgeries should be obtained.

Physical evaluation of the facial structures should be completed only after an overall physical assessment that addresses cardiopulmonary and neurologic functions and

---

**FIG. 24-1** Posterior displacement of tongue and occlusion of upper airway resulting from bilateral mandible fractures.

**FIG. 24-2** Tracheostomy and cricothyrotomy sites with landmarks for emergency surgical airway access.
other areas of potential trauma, including the chest, abdomen, and pelvic areas. Because patients with multiple severe injuries frequently require evaluation and treatment by several specialists, trauma teams have become standard in the emergency departments of major hospitals. These teams usually include general surgeons and specialists in cardiothoracic surgery, vascular surgery, orthopedic surgery, neurosurgery, and anesthesiology; these specialists are on call to provide immediate attention to emergency department patients. Other trauma team specialists include oral and maxillofacial surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, plastic surgeons, and urologists. The combined efforts of these specialists are frequently required to properly assess and treat the patient's injuries.

Evaluation of the facial area should be performed in an organized and sequential fashion. The face and cranium should be carefully inspected for evidence of trauma, including lacerations, abrasions, contusions, areas of edema or hematoma formation, and possible contour defects. Areas of ecchymosis should be carefully evaluated.

Periorbital ecchymosis, especially with subconjunctival hemorrhage, is often indicative of orbital rim or zygomatic complex fractures (Fig. 24-3). Bruises behind the ear, or Battle's sign, suggest a basilar skull fracture. Ecchymosis in the floor of the mouth usually indicates an anterior mandibular fracture.

A neurologic examination of the face should include careful evaluation of all cranial nerves. Vision, extraocular movements, and pupillary reaction to light should be carefully evaluated. Visual acuity or pupillary changes may suggest intracranial (cranial nerve II or III dysfunction) or direct orbital trauma. Uneven pupils (anisocoria) in a lethargic patient suggest an intracranial bleed (subdural or epidural hematoma or intraparenchymal bleed) or injury. An asymmetric or irregular (not round) pupil is most likely caused by a globe (eyeball) perforation. Abnormalities of ocular movements may also indicate either central neurologic problems (cranial nerves III, IV, or VI) or mechanical restriction of the movements of the eye muscles, resulting from fractures of the orbital complex (Fig. 24-4). Motor function of the facial muscles (cranial nerve VII) and muscles of mastication (cranial nerve V) and sensation over the facial area (cranial nerve V) should be evaluated. Any lacerations should be carefully cleaned and evaluated for possible transection of major nerves or ducts, such as the facial nerve or Stensen's duct.

The mandible should be carefully evaluated by extraorally palpating all areas of the inferior and lateral borders and the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), paying particular attention to areas of point tenderness. The occlusion should be examined, and step deformities along the occlusal plane and lacerations of gingival areas should be assessed (Fig. 24-5). Bimanual palpation of the suspected fracture area should be performed by placing firm pressure over the mandible posterior and anterior to the fracture area in an attempt to manipulate and elicit mobility in this area. The occlusion should be reexamined after this maneuver. Mobility of the teeth in the area of a possible fracture should also be noted.

The evaluation of the midface begins with an assessment of the mobility of the maxilla either as an isolated structure or in combination with the zygoma or nasal bones. To assess maxillary mobility, the patient's head should be stabilized by using pressure over the forehead with one hand. With the thumb and forefinger of the other hand, the maxilla is grasped; firm pressure should be used to elicit maxillary mobility (Fig. 24-6).
The upper facial and midfacial regions should be palpated for step deformities in the forehead, orbital rim, or nasal or zygoma areas. Firm digital pressure over these areas is used to evaluate the bony contours carefully and may be difficult when these areas are grossly edematous. In checking for a zygomatic complex or arch fracture, an index finger can be inserted in the maxillary vestibule adjacent to the molars while palpating and applying pressure superolaterally. Bony crepitus or extreme tenderness warrants a further workup. An evaluation of the nose and paranasal structures includes measurement of the intercanthal distance between the innermost portions of the left and right medial canthus. Frequently, nasoorbital ethmoid injuries cause spreading of the nasal bones and displacement of the medial canthal ligaments, resulting in traumatic telecanthus (widening of the medial intercanthal distance) (Fig. 24-7). Normally the medical intercanthal distance should equal the alar base width. The nose should also be evaluated for symmetry. The bony anatomy of the nose should be evaluated by palpation. A nasal speculum is used to visualize internal aspects of the nose to locate excessive bleeding or hematoma formation, particularly in the area of the nasal septum.

Intraoral inspection should include an evaluation of areas of mucosal laceration or ecchymosis in the buccal vestibule or along the palate and an examination of the occlusion and areas of loose or missing teeth. These should be assessed before, during, and after manual manipulation of the mandible and midface. Unilateral occlusal prematurities with contralateral open bites are highly suspicious for some type of jaw fracture.
FIG. 24-5 Irregularity of plane of occlusion and laceration in gingiva and mucosa between the mandibular central incisors, indicating a likelihood of mandibular fracture in this area.

FIG. 24-6 Examination of maxilla for mobility. A, Firm pressure on forehead is used to stabilize patient's head. Pressure is placed on maxilla in attempt to elicit mobility. B, Stabilizing hand can also evaluate mobility in area of nasal bones.
Radiographic Evaluation

After a careful clinical assessment of the facial area, radiographs should be taken to provide additional information about facial injuries. In case of severe facial trauma, cervical spine injuries should be ruled out with a complete cervical spine series (i.e., cross-table, odontoid, and obliques views) before any manipulation of the neck. The facial radiographic examination should depend to some degree on the clinical examination and the suspected injury. Haphazard or excessive radiographic examination is generally not warranted. In the patient with facial trauma, the purpose of radiographs should be to confirm the suspected clinical diagnosis, obtain information that may not be clear from the clinical examination, and more accurately determine the extent of the injury. Radiographic examination should also document fractures from different angles or perspectives.

Radiographic evaluation of the mandible generally requires two or more of the following four radiographic views: (1) panoramic view, (2) open-mouth Towne's view, (3) posteroanterior view, and (4) lateral oblique views (Fig. 24-8). Occasionally, even these radiographs do not provide adequate information; therefore supplemental radiographs, including occlusal or periapical views, may helpful. Computerized tomography (CT) scans, axial views without intravenous (IV) contrast, may provide information not obtainable from plain radiographs or when C-spine precautions or other injuries do not permit standard facial films. Because many patients with facial trauma often receive a CT scan to rule out neurology injury, this scan can also be used to supplement the radiographic evaluation.

Evaluation of midface fractures is generally supplemented with radiographic views, including Waters’ view lateral skull view, posteroanterior skull view, and sub-mental vertex view (Fig. 24-9). However, because of the difficulty of interpreting plain radiographs of the mid-face, more sophisticated techniques are generally used. This often includes CT scans done in several planes of space (i.e., axial and coronal) or infrequently three-dimensional (3-D) reconstruction (Fig. 24-10).
FIG. 24-8 A, Posterior-anterior view demonstrates a fracture in the body area of the mandible (arrow). B, Lateral oblique view shows a fracture in the angle area (arrow). C, Towne's view shows a displacement of condylar fracture (arrow). D, Panoramic view shows a displaced fracture of the left mandibular body and right subcondylar fracture (arrows).
FIG. 24-9  A. Water's view shows fractures of orbital rim areas (arrows). B. Lateral skull view illustrates a Le Fort III fracture or craniofacial separation. The fracture line (arrow) separates the midface from the cranium. C. Submental vertex demonstrates a zygomatic arch fracture (arrow).
CAUSE AND CLASSIFICATION OF FACIAL FRACTURES

Causes of Facial Fractures

The major causes of facial fractures include motor vehicle accidents and altercations. Other causes of injuries include falls, sports-related incidents, and work-related accidents. Facial fractures resulting from motor vehicle accidents are far more frequent in people who were not wearing restraints at the time of the accident.

Mandibular Fractures

Depending on the type of injury and the direction and force of the trauma, fractures of the mandible commonly occur in several locations. One classification of fractures...
describes mandibular fractures by anatomic location. Fractures are designated as occurring in the condylar, ramus, angle, body, symphysis, alveolar, and rarely coronoid process areas. Fig. 24-11 illustrates the location and frequency of different types of mandibular fractures.

Another system of classification of mandibular fractures categorizes the type of fracture as greenstick, simple, comminuted, and compound fractures (Fig. 24-12). These categories describe the condition of the bone fragments at the fracture site and possible communication with the external environment. Greenstick fractures are those involving incomplete fractures with flexible bone. Green-stick fractures generally exhibit minimal mobility when palpated. A simple fracture is a complete transaction of the bone with minimal fragmentation at the fracture site. In a comminuted fracture the fractured bone is left in multiple segments. Gunshot wounds, penetrating objects, and other high-impact injuries to the jaws frequently result in comminuted fractures. A compound fracture results in communication of the margin of the fracture.
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FIG. 24-13 Favorable and unfavorable fractures of mandible. A, Unfavorable fractures resulting in displacement at fracture site caused by pull of masseter muscle. B, Favorable fracture in which direction of fracture and angulation of muscle pull resists displacement.

bone with the external environment. In maxillofacial fractures, communication with the oral or external environment may result from mucosal tears, perforation through the gingival sulcus and periodontal ligament, communication with sinus linings, and lacerations in the overlying skin. By definition, any jaw fracture within a tooth bearing segment is an open or compound fracture.

Fractures of the mandible are referred to as favorable or unfavorable, depending on the angulation of the fracture and the force of the muscle pull proximal and distal to the fracture. In a favorable fracture, the fracture line and the muscle pull resist displacement of the fracture (Fig. 24-13). In an unfavorable fracture, the muscle pull results in displacement of the fractured segments.

Midface Fractures

Midfacial fractures include fractures affecting the maxilla, the zygoma, and the NOE complex. Midfacial fractures can be classified as Le Fort I, II, or III fractures, zygomatico-maxillary complex (ZMC) fractures, zygomatic arch fractures, or NOE fractures. These injuries may be isolated or occur in combination.

The Le Fort I fracture frequently results from the application of horizontal force to the maxilla, which fractures the maxilla through the maxillary sinus and along the floor of the nose. It separates the maxilla from the ptery-goid plates and nasal and zygomatic structures (Fig. 24-14, A). This type of trauma may separate the maxilla in one piece from other structures, split the palate, or fragment the maxilla. Forces that are applied in a more superior direction frequently result in Le Fort II fractures, which is the separation of the maxilla and the attached nasal complex from the orbital and zygomatic structures (Fig. 24-14, B). A Le Fort III fracture results when horizontal forces are applied at a level superior enough to separate the NOE complex, the zygomas, and the maxilla from the cranial base, which results in a so-called cranio-facial separation (Fig. 24-14, C). Invariably midfacial fractures are hybrids or combinations of the previously mentioned injuries.

The most common type of midfacial fracture is the zygomatic complex fracture (Fig. 24-15, A). This type of fracture results when an object, such as a fist or a baseball, impacts over the lateral aspect of the cheek. Similar trauma can also result in isolated fractures of the nasal bones, the orbital rim, or the orbital floor areas (Fig. 24-16). The zygomatic arch may also be affected, either alone or in combination with other injuries (see Fig. 24-15, B and C).

TREATMENT OF FACIAL FRACTURES

Whenever facial structures are injured, treatment must be directed toward maximal rehabilitation of the patient. For facial fractures, treatment goals include rapid bone healing; a return of normal ocular, masticatory, and nasal function; restoration of speech; and an acceptable facial and dental esthetic result. During the treatment and healing phases, it is also important to minimize the adverse effect on the patient’s nutritional status and achieve treatment goals with the least amount of discomfort and inconvenience possible.

To achieve these goals, the following basic surgical principles should serve as a guide for treatment of facial fractures: reduction of the fracture (i.e., restoration of the bony segments to their proper anatomic location), fixation and stabilization of the bony segments, and immobilization of segments at the fracture site. In addition, the preoperative occlusion must be restored, and any infection in the area of the fracture must be eradicated or prevented.

The timing of treatment of facial fractures depends on many factors. In general, it is always better to treat an injury as soon as possible. Evidence shows that the longer open or compound wounds are left untreated, the greater is the incidence of infection. In addition, a delay of several days or weeks makes an ideal anatomic reduction of the fracture difficult if not impossible. Additionally, edema progresses over 2 to 3 days after an injury and frequently makes treatment of a fracture more difficult.

However, treatment of facial fractures is frequently delayed for several reasons. In many cases, patients have
FIG. 24-14 Le Fort midfacial fractures. A, Le Fort I fracture separating inferior portion of maxilla in horizontal fashion, extending from piriform aperture of nose to pterygoid maxillary suture area. B, Le Fort II fracture involving separation of maxilla and nasal complex from cranial base, zygomatic orbital rim area, and pterygoid maxillary suture area. C, Le Fort III fracture (i.e., craniofacial separation) is complete separation of midface at level of nasoorbital-ethmoid complex and zygomaticofrontal suture area. Fracture also extends through orbits bilaterally.

Although treatments of maxillary and mandibular fractures frequently have many aspects in common, these types of fractures are addressed separately in this chapter. Traditionally the plan for treatment of most facial fractures was to begin with reduction of mandibular fractures and work superiorly through the midface. The rationale was that the mandible could be most easily stabilized, and the occlusion and remainder of the facial stabilized, could be set to the reduced mandible. However, with the advent of and improvement in rigid fixation techniques, facial fracture treatment may begin in the area when fractures can be most easily stabilized and progresses to the most unstable fracture areas.
In approaching facial fractures, the surgeon attempts to rebuild the face based on the concept that certain bony structures within the face provide the primary support in the vertical and anteroposterior directions. Three buttresses exist bilaterally that form the primary vertical supports of the face: (1) the nasomaxillary, (2) the zygomatic, and (3) the pterygomaxillary buttresses (Fig. 24-17). The structures that support the facial projection in an anterior-posterior direction include the frontal bar, zygomatic arch and zygoma complex, maxillary alveolus and palate, and the basal segment of the mandible. Regardless of the type of facial fracture or the surgical approach used, the initial procedure should be to place the teeth in the proper occlusion and then appropriately reduce the bony fractures. Bony repair should also precede soft tissue repair.

**Mandibular Fractures**

The first and most important aspect of surgical correction is to reduce the fracture properly or place the individual segments of the fracture into the proper relationship with
each other. In the proper reduction of fractures of tooth-bearing bones, it is most important to place the teeth into the preinjury occlusal relationship. Merely aligning and interdigitating the bony fragments at the fracture site without first establishing a proper occlusal relationship rarely results in satisfactory postoperative functional occlusion.

Establishing a proper occlusal relationship by wiring the teeth together is termed maxillomandibular fixation (MMF) or intermaxillary fixation (IMF). Several techniques have been advocated for MMF (Fig. 24-18). The most common technique includes the use of a prefabricated arch bar that is adapted and circumdentally wired to teeth in each arch; the maxillary arch bar is wired to the mandibular arch bar, thereby placing the teeth in their proper relationship. Other wiring techniques, such as Ivy loops or continuous loop wiring, have also been used for the same purpose. When fractures have not been treated
for several days or are grossly displaced, it may be difficult to place the fractured segments immediately into their proper position and into adequate MMF. Heavy elastic traction can be used to pull the bony segments into their proper positions gradually over several hours or a few days (Fig. 24-19). Treatment of fractures using only MMF is called closed reduction, because it does not involve direct opening, exposure, and manipulation of the fractured area.

In the case of a fracture of an edentulous patient, the mandibular dentures can be wired to the mandible with circummandibular wiring, and the maxillary denture area...
be secured to the maxilla using either wiring techniques or bone screws to hold the denture in place. The maxillary and mandibular dentures can then be wired together, which produces a type of MMF. In many instances the totally edentulous fracture patient undergoes open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) with anatomic alignment (Fig. 24-20). After an appropriate period of healing (minimum of 6 weeks) new dentures can be fabricated. A splinting technique that can be used for dentate patients involves the use of a lingual or occlusal splint (Fig. 24-21). This technique is particularly useful in treatment of mandibular fractures in children in whom placement of arch bars and bone plates is difficult because of the configuration of the deciduous teeth, because of developing permanent teeth, and because patient understanding and cooperation is difficult to obtain. After a complete clinical and radiographic examination, all fractures and soft tissue injuries should be identified and categorized. Then, with input from the patient and the patient's family, a treatment plan should be developed as to method and sequencing of surgery. Discussion regarding closed versus open reduction, any period of MMF, and anticipated morbidity should lead to a decision, and surgical consent should be obtained.
After completing a closed reduction of the mandible and placing the dental component or alveolar process into the proper relationship with the maxilla, the necessity for an open reduction (i.e., direct exposure and reduction of the fracture through a surgical incision) must be determined. If adequate bony reduction has occurred, MMF may provide adequate stabilization during the initial bony healing phase of approximately 6 weeks. Indications for open reduction include continued displacement of the bony segments or an unfavorable fracture, such as in an angle fracture (see Fig. 24-13) which the pull of the masseter and medial pterygoid muscles can cause distraction of the proximal segment of the mandible. With rigid fixation techniques, patients can be
allowed to heal without undergoing MMF or at least a decreased time of MMF. This alone may be an important factor in the decision to perform an open reduction.\(^8\) In most instances patients opt to undergo ORIF, which allows an earlier return to more normal function without MMF.

In some cases it is not feasible to expect an anatomic reduction of the fracture area. This is especially true of the condylar fracture. In this fracture minimal or moderate displacement of the condylar segment generally results in adequate postoperative function and occlusion (but only if a proper occlusal relationship was established during the period of healing of the fracture site). In these cases MMF is used for a maximum of 2 to 3 weeks in adults and 10 to 14 days in children, after which there is a period of aggressive functional rehabilitation. Longer periods of MMF can lead to bony ankylosis or fibrosis and severe limited mouth opening.

When open reduction is performed, direct surgical access to the area of the fracture must be obtained. This access can be accomplished through several surgical approaches, depending on the area of the mandible fractured. Both intraoral and extraoral approaches are possible. Generally the symphysis and anterior mandible areas can be easily approached through an intraoral incision (Fig. 24-22), whereas posterior angle or ramus and condy-
Fig. 24-23 Extraoral exposure and plating of right posterior body fracture of the mandible.

Fig. 24-24 Use of intraoral incision combined with percutaneous canula placement for access to mandibular angle region.

Lar fractures are more easily visualized and treated through an extraoral approach (Fig. 24-23). In some cases, posterior body and angle fractures can be treated through a combination approach using an intraoral incision combined with insertion of a small trocar and cannula through the skin to facilitate fracture reduction and fixation (Fig. 24-24). In either case a surgical approach should avoid vital structures such as nerves, ducts, and blood vessels and should result in as little scarring as possible.

The traditional and still acceptable method of bone stabilization after open reductions has been the placement of direct intraosseous wiring combined with a period of MMF ranging from 3 to 8 weeks. This method of stabilization can be accomplished through a variety of wiring techniques (i.e., wire osteosynthesis) and is often sufficient to maintain the bony segments in the proper position during the time of healing (Fig. 24-25). If osteosynthesis is used for fixation and stabilization of the fracture site, continued immobilization with MME (generally 6 weeks) is required until adequate healing has occurred in the area of the fracture.

Currently techniques for rigid internal fixation are widely used for treatment of fractures. These methods use bone plates, bone screws, or both to fix the fracture more rigidly and stabilize the bony segments during healing (Fig. 24-26). Even with rigid fixation, a proper
FIG. 24-25 Presentation of surgical wiring of fracture sites for reduction and stabilization of mandible fractures (with wire osteosynthesis of fracture sites, patients must be maintained in maxillomandibular fixation during the healing period).

FIG. 24-26 A, Radiograph reveals left parasymphysis and left condylar fractures. B, Transoral plating of the parasymphysis fracture with monocortical plate above and bicortical plate below the mental nerve.

Continued
FIG. 24-26—cont’d C, Two bone plates used to stabilize the condyle fracture through a retromandibular skin incision. D, Postoperative radiograph.
occlusal relationship must be established before reduction stabilization and fixation of the bony segments. Advantages of rigid fixation techniques for treatment of mandibular fractures include decreased discomfort and inconvenience to the patient because MMF is eliminated or reduced, improved postoperative nutrition, improved postoperative hygiene, greater safety for seizure patients, and, frequently, better postoperative management of patients with multiple injuries.

Midface Fractures
Treatment of fractures of the midface can be divided into those fractures that affect the occlusal relationship, such as Le Fort I, II, or III fractures, and those fractures that do not necessarily affect the occlusion, such as fractures of an isolated zygoma, zygomatic arch, or NOE complex.

In zygoma fractures, isolated zygomatic arch fractures, and NOE fractures, treatment is primarily aimed at the restoration of normal ocular, nasal, and masticatory function and facial esthetics. In an isolated zygoma fracture (the most common midfacial injury), an open reduction is generally performed through some combination of intraoral, lateral eyebrow or infraorbital approaches. An instrument is used to elevate and place the zygoma into the proper position. If adequate stabilization is not possible by simple manual reduction, bone plating of the zygomaticomaxillary buttress, zygomatico-frontal area, and the inferior orbital rim area may be necessary (Fig. 24-27).

In a zygomatic arch fracture, either an extraoral or an intraoral approach can be used to elevate the zygomatic arch and return it to its proper configuration. In addition to restoring adequate facial contour, this eliminates the impingement on the coronoid process of the mandible and the subsequent limitation of mandibular opening. Elevation and reduction of the zygomatic arch should be performed within several days of the injury. Longer delays make maintaining the arch in a stable supported "keystone configuration" difficult, and it tends to collapse or drift to its injured position.

The goal of treatment for NOE fractures is to reproduce normal nasolacrimal and ocular function while repositioning the nasal bones and medial canthal ligaments into an appropriate position to ensure normal postoperative esthetics. In these situations open reduction of the NOE area is usually necessary. Wide exposure to the supraorbital rim and nasal, medial canthal, and infraorbital rim areas can be achieved through a variety of surgical approaches. The most popular approach currently in use is the bicoronal flap, which allows exposure of the entire upper facial and nasoethmoidal complex through a single incision that can be easily hidden in the hairline (Fig. 24-28).12 Small bone plates and direct transnasal wiring appear to be most effective in stabilizing and maintaining bony segments in these types of injuries.

In midfacial fractures involving a component of the occlusion, as in mandibular fractures, it is extremely important to reestablish a proper occlusal relationship by placing the maxilla into the proper occlusion with the mandible. This step is accomplished by methods identical to the various types of MMF for mandibular fractures. However, as with mandibular fractures, reestablishing the occlusal relationship may not provide adequate reduction of the fractures in all areas. In addition to the need for anatomic reduction, additional stabilization of the fracture sites is often required.

When adequate bony reduction occurs after MMF but the fracture remains unstable, direct wiring, suspension wiring techniques, or bone plates may be used to stabilize the fracture. An example of such a case is a Le Fort I, II, or III midfacial fracture with an intact mandible. By placing the patient in MMF, movement of the mandible tends to dislodge the midfacial bones during any mandibular movement. Direct wiring techniques (i.e., wire osteosynthesis) or bone plates (i.e., rigid fixation) attempt to directly stabilize the individual fractures.

Suspension wiring is sometimes used in addition to direct wiring or bone plating. The purpose of suspension wiring is to provide stabilization of fractured bones by suspending them to a more stable bone superiorly.13 Suspension wiring techniques include those with wire attached to the piriform rim area, infraorbital rims, zygomatic arch, or frontal bone (Fig. 24-29). The suspend wires can be connected directly to the maxillary arch, wire, or they can be connected with an intermediate m to an interocclusal splint or to the mandible. These suspension wires prevent movement of the maxilla caused by the inferior pull of the mandible during attempted opening. The use of direct and suspension wire fixation does have significant limitations in many cases. The lim-
communed unstable midface fractures can now routinely be treated by wide exposure of all fractured segments combined with the use of bone plates to reestablish the facial pillars, develop adequate contours, and stabilize as many facial bone fragments as possible (Fig. 24-31). These titanium bone plates and screws are bio-compatible and do not require removal at a second surgery unless they become palpable, infected, or interfere with secondary reconstructive surgery (i.e., bone grafting, implants). Various polymers of polyglycolic acid (PGA) and polylactic acid (PLA) have been developed for resorbable plate and screw systems (Fig. 24-32 on page 556). Resorbable plating systems may be particularly desirable in pediatric and skull trauma, where growth and CT scan reimagining are considerations. However, because of the current designs, mechanical limitations, need for tapping, and cost, these systems are not routinely used. Use of bone plates and screws has also facilitated the use of immediate bone grafting to replace comminuted or missing bone segments at the time of surgery and to improve stabilization of comminuted segments.

Lacerations

The general guidelines for management of facial lacerations are outlined in Chapter 23. Frequently, fractures of the facial bones are associated with severe facial lacerations. The principles of laceration repair remain the same regardless of how small or large the injury.

Cleansing of the laceration and examination of the area for disruption of any vital structures is extremely important. Possible injuries include lacerations of Stensen's duct, the facial nerve, or major vessels. In these cases attempts must be made to reanastomose the duct: identify and perform a primary repair of the severed nerve, or manage all associated bleeding (Fig. 24-33 on page 556). It is important to examine for these injuries before injection of local anesthesia or induction of general anesthesia, because structural integrity and function (i.e., facial motion and salivary flow) may not be able to be assessed after anesthesia.

The lacerations should be closed from the inside out. that is, from the oral mucosa to the muscle to the subcutaneous tissue and skin. All closures should be complete; in layers to orient tissues properly and to eliminate any dead space within the wound to prevent hematoma formation. Easily identifiable landmarks, such as the vermilion border of the lip, ala of the nose, or areas of the laceration that can be easily identified and properly repositioned, should be sutured first (Fig. 24-34 on page 557) after which the surgeon should close areas where wound margins are not so clearly reapproximated. All wound should be cleansed periodically with hydrogen peroxide Some surgeons advocate including the use of antibiotic ointment in wound care. However, use of dry occlusion dressings, such as Steri-Strip coverings can be equally effective. Sutures from facial wounds are generally removed in 5 to 7 days, depending on the location of the wound and the amount of tension necessary to provide adequate wound closure.
FIG. 24-31  A, Patient (who sustained severe panfacial trauma from an industrial accident) in the operating department is shown in a C-spine collar. B, Axial computerized tomography (CT) scan reveals anterior skull fractures with intracranial air. C, Axial CT scan with bilateral displaced mandible fractures. D, Operative view of skull vault plated while the neurosurgeon was repairing the dural tear.
FIG. 24-31—cont’d  E, Cranial vault plated back in place. F, Right subcondylar fracture. G, Right body fractures plated through a large neck laceration. H, Left-angle fracture. I, Revised wound of left cheek through which microplating of mid-facial fractures was performed.
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ND pressure head dressing.

see Figure 26-30 for postoperative facial views and scar revision.

J, Repaired right cheek and neck lacerations and pressure head dressing being applied. K, Postoperative lateral cephalogram and, L, Panorex. M, Occlusion 6 weeks after surgery (see Figure 26-30 for postoperative facial views and scar revision).
FIG. 24-32 L-shaped resorbable (nonmetallic and almost translucent) plates and screws securing a right zygomatico-maxillary fracture.

FIG. 24-33 A, Large laceration over the area of the facial nerve and parotid duct. Exploration may be necessary to locate and repair these structures. B, Immediate postoperative view. C, Two weeks postoperatively. Note small area of wound breakdown resulting from necrosis of severely damaged flap margin.
FIG. 24-34 A, Chainsaw injury to the lips, jaws, and chin, resulting in loss of teeth and bone. B, View from above after hemostasis has been achieved and the wound has been debrided and trimmed. Note the nearly avulsed upper lip pedicled on the left side. C, View of repaired lacerations with patient nasally intubated and an oral airway in place. D, Three-month postoperative facial appearance.
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