Autumn School Lisbon - Data Driven Computations in Life Sciences - Fall 2015

## TUTORIAL 1 - DEFECTIVE NAVIER-STOKES PROBLEM

**Exercise 1.** Consider the simplified geometry of a bifurcation  $\Omega$  in the Figure below.

In  $\Omega$  we solve the incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations. We mark four different portions of the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .  $\Gamma_1$  is the inflow section.  $\Gamma_{2,u}$  is the up outflow section and  $\Gamma_{2,b}$  is the bottom outflow section. The remainder is  $\Gamma_w$ , the wall of the artery.

We consider two boundary value problems.

## **BVP1**

On  $\Gamma_w$  we set the velocity  $\boldsymbol{u} = 0$ .

On  $\Gamma_1$  we set the pressure  $P = 10 \sin(\pi t)$ . On  $\Gamma_{2,\star}$  we set the pressure P = 0.

- 1) Solve the problem with the do-nothing approach.
- 2) Solve the problem after moving the position of the most distal section from x = 15 to x = 20.
- 3) Solve the problem after moving  $\Gamma_{2,u}$  to x = 10.
- 4) Solve the problem with and without the complete strain rate tensor  $\nabla \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^T$ . Compare the results.
- 5) When using the complete strain rate tensor, try also the "modified" do-nothing approach, where the tangential velocity on  $\Gamma_{2,\star}$  is set to be zero.

## BVP2

With respect to the previous case, we want to prescribe a flow rate of  $Q(t) = 0.5\sin(\pi t)$  on  $\Gamma_1$ .

- 1) Fit a Poiseuille parabolic profile g(y) = C(2-y)y such that  $\int_{\Gamma_1} g(y)dy = Q(t)$  and solve the problem.
- 2) Solve the flow rate problem with a Lagrange multiplier approach (fantasy with FreeFem++ is warmly welcome)

Comment on the comparison of the two approaches. What about adding a flow extension?

